


**From:** [Caudill, Motria](#)  
**To:** [Wilson, Linda J \(Whiting\)](#)  
**Subject:** VOC method comparison  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 01, 2015 2:15:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image003.png](#)

---

Here's what I sent to Jim's old address a couple weeks ago. The CRL method is canisters and ORD is passive tubes.

..

Regarding the benzene issue, see below the data averages for 4 sites. Individual 1-week samples are well correlated with the cans/tubes, but GC values much higher at Sites #1 and 4. Results were good all-around for toluene. For now I'm excluding your benzene from the presentation. I wonder whether there is some explanation for this?



~~~~~  
Motria P. Caudill, Ph.D.  
Air Monitoring & Analysis Section  
USEPA Region 5 (AT-18J)  
77 W. Jackson Blvd.  
Chicago, IL 60604  
telephone (312) 886-0267  
direct fax (312) 582-5863  
~~~~~